

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/782,375	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PULST ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> STEPHEN GUCKER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1649	

**All Participants:**

(1) STEPHEN GUCKER.

(2) Robert Underwood.

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2010

**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*16, 17, 25, and 26*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant agreed to the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)